

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	PID CT MAN (ED DITE) THE	<del></del>	
09/989,415		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
	11/21/2001	Jac-Gyung Ahn	0630-1350P	9773
	7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &			
PO BOX 747			EVERHART, CARIDAD	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	
	,		ARI UNII	PAPER NUMBER
			2825	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/989,41	5	AHN, JAE-GYUNG			
		Examin r		Art Unit			
		Caridad M.	Everhart	2825			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the pely received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eve tion. s, a reply within the statu y period will apply and wil by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) □ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) □ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/286,670.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/2001</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2825

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stolmeijer, et al. (US 5,877,066).

Stolmeijer et al disclose a semiconductor substrate shown in Fig. 7 with active regions 110 and 210 and trenches filled with oxide 50, and regions 82 and 83 as well as 81 and 84 correspond to dummy active regions (Fig. 7 and col. 4, lines 1-15).

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ukeda, et al. (US 6,130,139).

Ukeda et al discloses dummy active regions(feature 9 Fig. 1b) and field isolation regions(features 8 Fig. 1b) and active field regions( feature 6Fig. 1b). There is also shown in Fig. 1b gate oxide, gate electrode. In Fig. 7 it is shown that there is a second conductor over the gate insulation (col. 18, lines 16-25). There is a conduction film over the dummy areas also (Fig. 1b, feature 10) which is polysilicon. It is understood to be undoped, as there is no teaching of doping the layer. The conductive film is distinguished from the gate over the active region because it is shown in Fig. 7 that the gate over the active region can be of tow layers, while dummy gate 10 is shown to be

Art Unit: 2825

one layer. It is shown in Fig. 2f that the conduction layer may be doped with the same impurity as the active region. It is further taught that although it is shown in the figure that the dummy region is also simultaneously subjected to the formation of PN junctions, that this step does not necessarily have to include those regions(col. 13, lines 13-23), so that this is interpreted to encompass that the dummy conductive metal may be undoped polysilicon. That the dummy region can have a resistive polysilicon layer would also indicate that the polysilicon can be undoped (col. 15, lines 50-67).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ukeda et al as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Ooka (US 4,740,480).

Ukeda et al is silent with respect to BPSG.

Art Unit: 2825

Ooka is relied upon for its disclosure that BPSG is an improvement for isolation because of its low reflow temperature, which results in complete fill of trenches(col. 2,lines 32-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have used BPSG in the trench fill in the device taught by Ukeda et al in view of the teaching of the benefit taught by Ooka.

Claims 4,7, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ukeda et al as applied to claim 1 above further in view of Yoh, et al (US 4,559,694).

Ukeda et al does not teach the doping of different type nor the salicide.

Yoh et al teaches the doping of different impurity in the gate and in the active layer(col. 26, lines 3-15; col. 25, lines 7-12 and 58-67; col. 26, lines 1-15 and claim 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have doped the layers as taught by Yoh et al in the device taught by Ukeda et al in order to obtain the benefits taught by Yoh et al such as the temperature dependency and the manufacturing deviations are made small(col. 25, lines 25-28).

With respect to the salicide, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have formed a salicide in the device taught by Ukeda et al because Ukeda et al teach a bilayer of polysilicon and refractory metal as in the portions of Ukeda et al cited above, so that the salicide would have been obvious because a salicide step is conventional in the art in order to improve the conductivity of the polysilicon layer.

Art Unit: 2825

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 571-272-1892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Everhart 6-30-2004